APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION FORM **U.S. Army Corps of Engineers**

This form should be completed by following the instructions provided in Section IV of the JD Form Instructional Guidebook.

SECTION I: BACKGROUND INFORMATION

A. REPORT COMPLETION DATE FOR APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION (JD): 24-

В.	DISTRICT OFFICE, FILE NAME, AND NUMBER: Fort Worth District, SWF-2021-00359
c.	PROJECT LOCATION AND BACKGROUND INFORMATION:
	State: Texas County/parish/borough: Tom Green County City:
	Center coordinates of site (lat/long in degree decimal format): Lat. 31.564° N, Long100.458° W.
	Universal Transverse Mercator: 14
	Name of nearest waterbody: Concho River
	Name of nearest Traditional Navigable Water (TNW) into which the aquatic resource flows: Colorado River
	Name of watershed or Hydrologic Unit Code (HUC): 1209010405
	Check if map/diagram of review area and/or potential jurisdictional areas is/are available upon request.
	Check if other sites (e.g., offsite mitigation sites, disposal sites, etc.) are associated with this action and are recorded
	on a different JD form.
	on w unit on the control of the cont
D.	REVIEW PERFORMED FOR SITE EVALUATION (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY):
υ.	
	Office (Desk) Determination. Date: 15-NOV-2021
	Field Determination. Date(s): 09-NOV-2021
SE	CTION II: SUMMARY OF FINDINGS
A. Ri	A SECTION 10 DETERMINATION OF JURISDICTION.
There	Pick List "navigable waters of the U.S." within Rivers and Harbors Act (RHA) jurisdiction (as defined by 33 CFR part 329) in the review area. [Required]
	Waters subject to the ebb and flow of the tide.
	Waters are presently used, or have been used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport interstate or foreign commerce. Explain:
B. C1	WA SECTION 404 DETERMINATION OF JURISDICTION.
lhere	Pick List "waters of the U.S." within Clean Water Act (CWA) jurisdiction (as defined by 33 CFR part 328) in the review area.
	1. Waters of the U.S.
	a. Indicate presence of waters of U.S. in review area (check all that apply): 1 TNWs, including territorial seas
	Wetlands adjacent to TNWs Relatively permanent waters ² (RPWs) that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs Non-RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs Wetlands directly abutting RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs Wetlands adjacent to but not directly abutting RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs Wetlands adjacent to non-RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs Impoundments of jurisdictional waters
	Non-RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs
	Wetlands directly abutting RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs
	Wetlands adjacent to but not directly abutting RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs
	Wetlands adjacent to non-RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs
	Impoundments of jurisdictional waters
	Isolated (interstate or intrastate) waters, including isolated wetlands
	b. Identify (estimate) size of waters of the U.S. in the review area:
	Non-wetland waters: linear feet: width (ft) and/or acres.
	Wetlands: acres.
	c. Limits (boundaries) of jurisdiction based on: 1987 Delineation Manual
	Elevation of established DHWM (if known): Not known and not delineated using 1987 Manual.
	2. Non-regulated waters/wetlands (check if applicable): ³
	Potentially jurisdictional waters and/or wetlands were assessed within the review area and determined to be not
	jurisdictional. Explain: Wetland 1 and Stream 1 were found not to be adjacent to, nor connected to a water
	of the United States.
	of the office states.
o Tra	CTION III. CWA ANAI VCIC
<u>5E'</u>	CTION III: CWA ANALYSIS TNWs AND WETLANDS ADJACENT TO TNWs
Α.	
	The agencies will assert jurisdiction over TNWs and wetlands adjacent to TNWs. If the aquatic resource is a TNW, complete Section III.A.1 and Section III.D.1. only; if the aquatic resource is a wetland adjacent to a TNW, complete Sections III.A.1 and 2 and Section III.D.1.; otherwise, see Section III.B below.
	resource is a wetiand adjacent to a TNW, complete Sections III.A.1 and 2 and Section III.D.1.; otherwise, see Section III.6 below. 1. TNW
	ldentify TNW: .
	Summarize rationale supporting determination:
	2. Wetland adjacent to TNW
	• **

¹ Boxes checked below shall be supported by completing the appropriate sections in Section III below.

² For purposes of this form, an RPW is defined as a tributary that is not a TNW and that typically flows year-round or has continuous flow at least "seasonally" (e.g., typically 3 months).

³ Supporting documentation is presented in Section III.F.

B.

CHARACTERISTICS OF TRIBUTARY (THAT IS NOT A TNW) AND ITS ADJACENT WETLANDS (IF ANY):

This section summarizes information regarding characteristics of the tributary and its adjacent wetlands, if any, and it helps determine whether or not the standards for jurisdiction established under *Rapanos* have been met. The agencies will assert jurisdiction over non-navigable tributaries of TNWs where the tributaries are "relatively permanent waters" (RPWs), i.e. tributaries that typically flow year-round or have continuous flow at least seasonally (e.g., typically 3 months). A wetland that directly abuts an RPW is also jurisdictional. If the aquatic resource is not a TNW, but has year-round (perennial) flow, skip to Section III.D.2. If the aquatic resource is a wetland directly abutting a tributary with perennial flow, skip to Section III.D.4. A wetland that is adjacent to but that does not directly abut an RPW requires a significant nexus evaluation. Corps districts and EPA regions will include in the record any available information that documents the existence of a significant nexus between a relatively permanent tributary that is not perennial (and its adjacent wetlands if any) and a traditional navigable water, even though a significant nexus finding is not required as a matter of law. If the waterbody⁴ is not an RPW, or a wetland directly abutting an RPW, a JD will require additional data to determine if the waterbody has a significant nexus with a TNW. If the tributary has adjacent wetlands, the significant nexus evaluation must consider the tributary in combination with all of its adjacent wetlands. This significant nexus evaluation that combines, for analytical purposes, the tributary and all of its adjacent wetlands is used whether the review area identified in the JD request is the tributary, or its adjacent wetlands, or both. If the JD covers a tributary with adjacent wetlands, complete Section III.8.1 for the tributary, Section III.8.2 for any onsite wetlands, and Section III.8.3 for all wetlands adjacent to that tributary, both onsite and offsite. The determination whether a significant n

	on whether a significant nexus exists is determined in Section III.C below. acteristics of <u>non-TNWs tributaries</u> that flow directly or indirectly into TNW	
(i)	General Area Conditions:	
	Watershed size: acres	
	Drainage area: acres	
	Average annual rainfall: inches	
	Average annual snowfall: inches	
(ii)	Physical Characteristics:	
	(a) Relationship with TNW:	
	Tributary flows directly into TNW.	
	☐ Tributary flows through 2 tributaries before entering TNW.	
	Project waters are Pick List river miles from TNW.	
	Project waters are Pick List river miles from RPW.	
	Project waters are Pick List aerial (straight) miles from TNW.	
	Project waters are Pick List aerial (straight) miles from RPW.	
	Project waters cross or serve as state boundaries. Explain:	
	Identify flow route to TNW ⁵ :	
	Tributary stream order, if known: 4.	
	(b) <u>General Tributary Characteristics (check all that apply):</u>	
	Tributary is: Natural. Explain:	
	Artificial (man-made). Explain:	
	☐ Manipulated (man-altered). Explain:	
	Tributary properties with respect to top of bank (estimate):	
	Average width: feet	
	Average depth: feet	
	Average side slopes: Pick List.	
	Primary tributary substrate composition (check all that apply):	
	☐ Silts ☐ Sands	Concrete
	☐ Cobbles ☐ Gravel	☐ Muck
	☐ Bedrock ☐ Vegetation. Type/% cover:	
	Other. Explain:	
	Tributary condition/stability (e.g., highly eroding, sloughing banks). Explain:	
	Presence of run/riffle/pool complexes. Explain:	
	Tributary geometry: Pick List	
	Tributary gradient (approximate average slope): %	
	(c) <u>Flow:</u>	
	Tributary provides for: Pick List	
	Estimate average number of flow events in review area/year: Pick List	
	Describe flow regime:	
	Other information on duration and volume:	
	Surface flow is: Pick List . Characteristics:.	
	Subsurface flow: Unknown . Explain findings:	
	Dye (or other) test performed:	
	Tributary has (check all that apply):	
	Bed and banks	
	OHWM ⁶ (check all indicators that apply):	
	clear, natural line impressed on the bank	the presence of litter and debris
	☐ changes in the character of soil ☐	destruction of terrestrial vegetation
	shelving	the presence of wrack line
	vegetation matted down, bent, or absent	sediment sorting
	☐ leaf litter disturbed or washed away ☐	scour
	sediment deposition	multiple observed or predicted flow event:

⁴ Note that the Instructional Guidebook contains additional information regarding swales, ditches, washes, and erosional features generally and in the arid West.

⁵ Flow route can be described by identifying, e.g., tributary a, which flows through the review area, to flow into tributary b, which then flows into TNW. ⁶A natural or man-made discontinuity in the OHWM does not necessarily sever jurisdiction (e.g., where the stream temporarily flows underground, or where the OHWM has been removed by development or agricultural practices). Where there is a break in the OHWM that is unrelated to the waterbody's flow regime (e.g., flow over a rock outcrop or through a culvert), the agencies will look for indicators of flow above and below the break.

			[ater staining		abrupt change in plant community
			l		her (list):		
					nuous OHWM. ⁷ Explain:		:
					n the OHWM were used to determine lateral n Tide Line indicated by:		jurisdiction (check all that apply): k indicated by:
					or scum line along shore objects	ilgii water Mai	s molecules by. survey to available datum;
			Ī		e shell/debris deposits (foreshore)		physical markings;
			i		ysical markings/characteristics		vegetation lines/changes in vegetation types.
			Ī		al gauges		- regulation miles energes in regulation types.
			j	_	her (list):		
		(iii)	Chemical Ch	aracte	eristics:		
		Chara	cterize tributa	ry (e.g	., water color is clear, discolored, oily film;	water quality;	general watershed characteristics, etc.). Explain:.
					known: unknown.		
	(iv) Bi	i <u>olog</u> ic			Channel supports (check all that apply)	:	
		님			Characteristics (type, average width):	Call the last	
		H	Wetland fring Habitat for:	e. Lha	racteristics: Emergent wetland abuts west	of the ditch.	
		ш		المعادات	l species. Explain findings:		
					r species. Explain findings. eas. Explain findings:		
					entally-sensitive species. Explain findings:		
					diversity. Explain findings:	•	
2.	Charac	cteris			acent to non-TNW that flow directly or in	directly into	TNW
			cal Character			•	
		(a)	General Wetla	and Chi	aracteristics:		
			Properties:				
			Wetland				
			Wetland		•		
					y. Explain:		
		(b)			oss or serve as state boundaries. Explain: onship with Non-TNW:	•	
		(0)	Flow is: Pick				
			Surface flow		•		
			Charact				
			Subsurface fl	low: Pi i	ck List . Explain findings:		
			☐ Dye	(or ot	her) test performed:		
		(c)			Determination with Non-TNW:		
			Directly a				
			☐ Not direc				
					wetland hydrologic connection. Explain: I connection. Explain:		
					l connection. Explain. d by berm/barrier. Explain: There is an ear	then herm eas	t of the wetland
		(d)	Proximity (Re			tilon boi in caa	t of the Medulia.
		(-)			e Pick List river miles from TNW.		
					Pick List aerial (straight) miles from TNW.		
			Flow is from:	Pick L	ist		
					te location of wetland as within the Pick Lis	t floodplain.	
	(ii)		Chemical Ch			r	
					:m (e.g., water color is clear, brown, oil film known: unknown.	on surface; w	ater quality; general watershed characteristics; etc.). Explain:.
					s. Wetland supports (check all that appl	v).	
	(111)				aracteristics (type, average width):	y /-	
		Ħ			cent cover. Explain:.		
			Habitat for:		•		
					l species. Explain findings: .		
					as. Explain findings:		
					entally-sensitive species. Explain findings:		
	п				diversity. Explain findings:		
3.					adjacent to the tributary (if any) ered in the cumulative analysis: Pick List		
					ered in the cumulative analysis: Fick List total are being considered in the cumulative	analysis	
			ich wetland, sp			allalysis.	
			Directly abuts		5	Direct	ly abuts? (Y/N) Size (in acres)
	Summa	arize o					nction is habitat for wetland plants and provides surface water filtration.
	SIGNIFICANT NEXUS DETERMINATION (FOR NON-RPWS)						
							ry itself and the functions performed by any wetlands adjacent to the tributary to
							f. For each of the following situations, a significant nexus exists if the tributary, in
			-		•		ffect on the chemical, physical and/or biological integrity of a TNW. Considerations when
							cy of the flow of water in the tributary and its proximity to a TNW, and the functions significant nexus based solely on any specific threshold of distance (e.g. between a
P-01 1L	u u j	,	y uniu i	/	,	40.01 111116	g

⁷Ibid.

C.

tributary and its adjacent wetland or between a tributary and the TNW). Similarly, the fact an adjacent wetland lies within or outside of a floodplain is not solely determinative of significant nexus.

Draw connections between the features documented and the effects on the TNW, as identified in the Rapanos Guidance and discussed in the Instructional Guidebook. Factors to consider include, for example:

- Does the tributary, in combination with its adjacent wetlands (if any), have the capacity to carry pollutants or flood waters to TNWs, or to reduce the amount of pollutants or flood waters
- Does the tributary, in combination with its adjacent wetlands (if any), provide habitat and lifecycle support functions for fish and other species, such as feeding, nesting, spawning, or rearing young for species that are present in the TNW?
- Does the tributary, in combination with its adjacent wetlands (if any), have the capacity to transfer nutrients and organic carbon that support downstream foodwebs?
- Does the tributary, in combination with its adjacent wetlands (if any), have other relationships to the physical, chemical, or biological integrity of the TNW?

Note: the above list of considerations is not inclusive and other functions observed or known to occur should be documented below:

- Significant nexus findings for non-RPW that has no adjacent wetlands and flows directly or indirectly into TNWs. Explain findings of presence or absence of significant nexus below. based on the tributary itself, then go to Section III.D:
 - Significant nexus findings for non-RPW and its adjacent wetlands, where the non-RPW flows directly or indirectly into TNWs. Explain findings of presence or absence of significant nexus below, based on the tributary in combination with all of its adjacent wetlands, then go to Section III.D:
- estande adjagant to an DDW but that the part dispaths shut the DDW Evaluis findings of apparence of significant severe below beand on the

۷.	Significant nexus findings for wetlands adjacent to an Krw out that do not directly add the Krw. Explain findings of presence or absence of significant nexus below, based on the
	tributary in combination with all of its adjacent wetlands, then go to Section III.D:.
DE	TERMINATIONS OF JURISDICTIONAL FINDINGS. THE SUBJECT WATERS/WETLANDS ARE (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY):
1.	TNWs and Adjacent Wetlands. Check all that apply and provide size estimates in review area:
	□ TNWs: linear feet width (ft), Or, acres.
	Wetlands adjacent to TNWs: acres.
2.	RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs.
۷.	
	Tributaries of TNWs where tributaries typically flow year-round are jurisdictional. Provide data and rationale indicating that tributary is perennial:
	Tributaries of TNW where tributaries have continuous flow "seasonally" (e.g., typically three months each year) are jurisdictional. Data supporting this conclusion is provided at
	Section III.B. Provide rationale indicating that tributary flows seasonally:
	Provide estimates for jurisdictional waters in the review area (check all that apply):
	☐ Tributary waters: linear feet width (ft).
	Other non-wetland waters: acres.
	ldentify type(s) of waters:
	3. Non-RPWs ⁸ that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs.
	Waterbody that is not a TNW or an RPW, but flows directly or indirectly into a TNW, and it has a significant nexus with a TNW is jurisdictional. Data supporting this conclusion is
	provided at Section III.C.
	Provide estimates for jurisdictional waters within the review area (check all that apply):
	Tributary waters: linear feet width (ft).
	-
,	Identify type(s) of waters:
4.	Wetlands directly abutting an RPW that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs.
	Wetlands directly abut RPW and thus are jurisdictional as adjacent wetlands.
	Wetlands directly abutting an RPW where tributaries typically flow year-round. Provide data and rationale
	indicating that tributary is perennial in Section III.D.2, above. Provide rationale indicating that wetland is
	directly abutting an RPW: .
	Wetlands directly abutting an RPW where tributaries typically flow "seasonally." Provide data indicating that tributary is seasonal in Section III.B and rationale in Section III.D.2.
	above. Provide rationale indicating that wetland is directly abutting an RPW: .
	Provide acreage estimates for jurisdictional wetlands in the review area: acres.
5.	Wetlands adjacent to but not directly abutting an RPW that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs.
	Wetlands that do not directly abut an RPW, but when considered in combination with the tributary to which they are adjacent and with similarly situated adjacent wetlands, have a
	significant nexus with a TNW are jurisidictional. Data supporting this conclusion is provided at Section III.C.
	Provide acreage estimates for jurisdictional wetlands in the review area: 0.05 acres.
6.	Wetlands adjacent to non-RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs.
ш.	Wetlands adjacent to such waters, and have when considered in combination with the tributary to which they are adjacent and with similarly situated adjacent wetlands, have a
	significant nexus with a TNW are jurisdictional. Data supporting this conclusion is provided at Section III.C.
	Provide estimates for jurisdictional wetlands in the review area: acres.
7.	· · · · · ·
	As a general rule, the impoundment of a jurisdictional tributary remains jurisdictional.
	Demonstrate that impoundment was created from "waters of the U.S.," or
	Demonstrate that water meets the criteria for one of the categories presented above (I-6), or
	Demonstrate that water is isolated with a nexus to commerce (see E below).
ISI	DLATED (INTERSTATE OR INTRA-STATE) WATERS, INCLUDING ISOLATED WETLANDS, THE USE, DEGRADATION OR DESTRUCTION OF WHICH COULD AFFECT INTERSTATE COMMERCE,
IN	CLUDING ANY SUCH WATERS (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY): ^{III}
	which are or could be used by interstate or foreign travelers for recreational or other purposes.
	from which fish or shellfish are or could be taken and sold in interstate or foreign commerce.
	which are or could be used for industrial purposes by industries in interstate commerce.
	Interstate isolated waters. Explain: .
	Other factors. Explain:
_	entify water body and summarize rationale supporting determination:
	ovide estimates for jurisdictional waters in the review area (check all that apply):
1.1.	uvius sacinilates for jurisonistiniai waters in this review area (clieck all this approx).

E.

D.

⁸See Footnote # 3.

⁹ To complete the analysis refer to the key in Section III.D.6 of the Instructional Guidebook.

¹⁰ Prior to asserting or declining CWA jurisdiction based solely on this category, Corps Districts will elevate the action to Corps and EPA HQ for review consistent with the process described in the Corps/EPA Memorandum Regarding CWA Act Jurisdiction Following Rapanos.

	Tributary waters: linear feet width (ft). Other non-wetland waters: acres. Identify type(s) of waters: . Wetlands: acres.
F.	NON-JURISDICTIONAL WATERS, INCLUDING WETLANDS (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY): ☐ If potential wetlands were assessed within the review area, these areas did not meet the criteria in the 1987 Corps of Engineers Wetland Delineation Manual and/or appropriate Regional Supplements. ☐ Review area included isolated waters with no substantial nexus to interstate (or foreign) commerce. Wetland 1 totals 9.1 acres and is separated upgradient from Stream 1 by an artificial berm. Wetland 1 receives hydrology from upgradient overland sheetflow only. Stream 1 totals 4,063 linear feet, exhibits indicators of ephemeral flow, including drift deposits of leaf litter, sticks and branches deposited on rocks, cacti, shrubs, and tree trunks within the OHWM. Stream 1 exhibits weak and discontinuous OHWM with weak bed and bank, dissipating into sheetflow, which drains downgradient southeast for approximately two miles to offsite roadway conveyances. See attached table and figure. ☐ Prior to the Jan 2001 Supreme Court decision in "SWANCC," the review area would have been regulated based solely on the "Migratory Bird Rule" (MBR). ☐ Waters do not meet the "Significant Nexus" standard, where such a finding is required for jurisdiction. Explain: Other:
	Provide acreage estimates for non-jurisdictional waters in the review area, where the sole potential basis of jurisdiction is the MBR factors (i.e., presence of migratory birds, presence of endangered species, use of water for irrigated agriculture), using best professional judgment (check all that apply): Non-wetland waters (i.e., rivers, streams): linear feet width (ft). Lakes/ponds: acres. Other non-wetland waters: acres. List type of aquatic resource: Wetlands: acres.
	Provide acreage estimates for non-jurisdictional waters in the review area that do not meet the "Significant Nexus" standard, where such a finding is required for jurisdiction (check all that apply): Non-wetland waters (i.e., rivers, streams): linear feet, width (ft). Lakes/ponds: acres. Other non-wetland waters: acres. List type of aquatic resource: Wetlands: acres.
SE	CTION IV: DATA SOURCES.
A.	SUPPORTING DATA. Data reviewed for JD (check all that apply - checked items shall be included in case file and, where checked and requested, appropriately reference sources below): Maps, plans, plots or plats submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant: Data sheets prepared/submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant. Office concurs with data sheets/delineation report. Office does not concur with data sheets/delineation report. Data sheets prepared by the Corps: Corps navigable waters' study: U.S. Geological Survey Hydrologic Atlas: Delineation report provided by the applicant, dated June 2021 and National Regulatory Viewer, relevant layers. USGS NHD data. USGS 8 and 12 digit HUC maps. U.S. Geological Survey map(s). Cite scale & quad name: Delineation report provided by the applicant, dated June 2021.
	USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey. Citation: Web Soil Survey, and National Regulatory Viewer relevant layers. National wetlands inventory map(s). Cite name: ORM II, NWI relevant layers. State/Local wetland inventory map(s): FEMA/FIRM maps: LOMR 48451C0350E. 100-year Floodplain Elevation is: (National Geodectic Vertical Datum of 1929) Photographs: Aerial (Name & Date): Google Earth 2018, Digital Globe imagery, multiple years. or ☑ Other (Name & Date): Site Photos provided by the applicant, June 2-3, 2021.

Previous determination(s). File no. and date of response letter:	
Applicable/supporting case law: Applicable/supporting scientific literature:	
Other information (please specify):	

B. ADDITIONAL COMMENTS TO SUPPORT JD: USACE completed a JD site visit on November 9, 2021, finding no inconsistencies with the information provided to USACE.